COMMITTEE	PLANNING COMMITTEE
DATE	2 June 2015
SUBJECT	SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE OF THE PLANNING SERVICE FOR 4 th QUARTER (Jan - Mar) OF 2014-15
REPORT OF	Leigh Palmer Senior Specialist Advisor (Planning)
WARDS	All
PURPOSE	This report provides a summary of performance in relation to key areas of the Development Management Services for the relevant period
CONTACT	Leigh Palmer <u>Leigh.palmer@eastbourne.gov.uk</u> 01323 415 215
RECOMMENDATION	That Members note the content of this report

1 Background

Members will be aware that together we deal with a whole host of planning applications covering a range of differing forms of development.

Given the many varied types of planning application received Central Government require that all Councils report the performance in a consistent and coherent manner. To this end and for reasons the many varied applications are clumped together into three broad categories Major, Minor and Others.

In broad terms the types of application falling into these categories are outline below.

MAJOR DEVELOPMENT	MINOR DEVELOPMENT	OTHER DEVELOPMENT
10+ Dwellings / Greater	1-9 Dwellings/ greater	Householder applications
.5Ha	.5Ha	
Office/light industrial	Office /light industrial up	Change of use
greater 1000sqm/ 1Ha	to 999sqm under 1Ha	
General industrial greater	General Industrial up to	Adverts
1000sqm / 1Ha	999sqm under 1 Ha	
Retail greater 1000sqm /	Retail up to 999sqm under	Listed Building
1Ha	1 Ha	
Gypsy & Traveller 10+	Gypsy & Traveller 0-9	Conservation Area
Pitches	Pitches	Applications
		Certificates of Lawfulness
		Notifications

In analysing the performance for the processing of these differing types of application the Government do allow 13 weeks for the processing major applications and 8 weeks for processing the Minor and Other categories.

The figures below give the development management performance figures against these categories and for the calendar year 2013 on going both annual performance and quarterly statistics will be reported.

In addition this report also includes information about the recent appeal decisions and Members should note that any decision made to refuse an application opens the potential for an appeal by the applicant to the Planning Inspectorate.

As Members will be aware the majority of the applications received are granted planning permission, however for those that are refused and challenged through to an appeal it is considered important to analyse the appeal decisions in order to determine and evaluate whether lessons need to be learnt, or interpretations need to be given different weight at the decision making stage.

In addition the evaluation of the appeal decisions will also go some way to indicate the robustness and the correct application of the current and emerging policy context at both a local and national level.

2 Special Measures

Members will be aware that along with all Councils our performance has to be reported to Central Government and where authorities are deemed to be underperforming then they will be placed in 'special measures'.

As from June 2014 the Government have imposed two criteria against which Councils will be assessed, these are:-

- Where Councils have received more than 10 major applications over a rolling two year period then no more than 40% should take longer than 13 weeks to deal with.
- Where Councils have received more than 10 major applications over a rolling two year period 20% of decisions on major applications are overturned at appeal.

Members will note therefore that it is important to keep abreast of all decisions with regard to maintaining performance above the 'special measure' thresholds

2 All Decisions

It is clear therefore that with the regular (quarterly) reporting of this this report to Planning Committee issues, trends and pressures could readily be identified. The figures in Tables 1-3 below include the data from the Government return (currently excludes 'Notifications and Certificates of Lawful development.)

TABLE 1

Decisions	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2106/17
All determined	574	596		
Delegated	510 (89%)	521 (87%)		
Granted	521 (91%)	546 (92%)		
Refused	49 (9%)	50 (8%)		

	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER
2013 Whole Year	All determined	574
2014 Whole Year	All determined	596
2014 Q1 (Apr – Jun)	All determined	166
	Delegated	143 (86%)
	Granted	156 (94%)
	Refused	10 (6%)
2014 Q2 (Jul - Sep)	All determined	146
	Delegated	125 (86%)
	Granted	129 (88%)
	Refused	17 (12%)
2014 Q3 (Oct - Dec)	All determined	137
	Delegated	121 (88%)
	Granted	128 (93%)
	Refused	9 (7%)
2014 Q4 (Jan - Mar)	All determined	147
	Delegated	132 (90%)
	Granted	133 (90%)
	Refused	14 (10%)

TABLE 2

2014/15 All Q's Running Total	TYPE	NUMBER
	All determined	596
	Delegated	521 (87%)
	Granted	546 (92%)
	Refused	50 (8%)

It is clear from the tables above that the volume of the cases determined during the survey period (Tables above) have percentage levels consistent with the whole year (2103) percentage.

It is considered that in granting planning permission for 92% of all applications received that the planning services of Eastbourne Borough Council have supported/stimulated the local economy and also helped to meet the aspirations of

the applicants and only where there are substantive material planning considerations is an application refused.

The table below highlights the speed of decision against the three Government categories (Major Minor and Other).

It is clear from the table below that the team are performing on/over the National PI threshold and that there are, at this time, no special measure issues.

IADLL	5						
TITLE	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year in total	National/local	SPECIAL
	(Apr –	(Jul -	(Oct -	(Jan -	(Rolling	TARGET PI	MEASURES
	Jun)	Sep)	Dec)	Mar)	Performance	%	PI
	14/15	14/15	14/15	14/15	as a %)		%
	%	%	%	%			
MAJOR	50	57	60	100	59	60	20
	(4/2)	(7/4)	(5/3)	(1/1)			
MINOR	76	79	84	71	77	65	0
	(63/48)	(47/37)	(43/36)	(52/37)			
OTHER	87	86	92	86	86	80	0
	(99/87)	(98/84)	(89/82)	(94/81)			

TABLE 3

PRE-APPLICATION ADVICE

In addition to the formal applications received the Council offer a free pre application advice service. The table below indicates the numbers of pre-application enquiries received by the Council for the calendar year.

NAME	NUMBER
PRE APP (Old Process)	01
PRE APP HOUSEHOLDER	175
PRE APP MEDIUM	135
PRE APP MAJOR	19
TOTAL	330

This information is considered to be relevant given that it is a barometer as to the additional workload of the team and members should note that our returns to central government are based a pre-described application categories and they do not necessary highlight the volume of work going through the Planning section of the Council.

Members should note that Appendix No1 includes further application data by ward.

4 Refusals

Members requested further information on the number and break down of the refusal issued for the calendar year 2014. This information is highlighted within tables 4&5 below.

Member should be aware that in common with other years we refuse fewer than 10% of the applications received.

TABLE 3

REFUSALS BY WARD

Rov	v Labels	Count of ward
DV		
Dev	onshire	8
ΗP	Hampden	
Parl	ĸ	2
LG	Langney	1
MD	Meads	15
ОТ	Old Town	3
RN	Ratton	9
SA	St	
Antl	nonys	4
SV	Sovereign	4
UP	Upperton	8
(bla	nk)	
Gra	nd Total	54

TABLES 4&5 REFUSAL BY DECISION LEVEL COMMITTEE

131058 Retrospective application for the installation of decking and guard	DV Devonshire	19 Sydney Road
131017 Erection of 4no. floodlights, measuring 18m in height, and a covered	LG Langney	Shinewater Lane
140119 Outline application (For Access, Appearance, Layout and Scale) for	DV Devonshire	Firle Road & Beltring Terrace
140682 Change of Use from a Bed & Breakfast (Class C1) to a House for	DV Devonshire	10 Rylstone Road
140740 Erection of a two storey, two bedroom attached dwelling.	SA St Anthonys	3 Churchdale Place
140781 Erection of two detached single storey dwellings.	DV Devonshire	Eshton Road
141168 Change of use of public amenity land to private garden within the	SV Sovereign	2 Vancouver Road

DELEGATED

n8 Lundy Walk26 Saffrons Park15 Hartfield Roadire15 Hartfield Roadire119 Royal Parade05 Cook AvenueVictoria Drive2 Warburton CloseBlackwater Road3 Grange Gardens4 Walnut Tree WalkLindfield Roadn Park9 Wilton Avenue10 Linkwayn71 Greys Roada Copperton Road
h 15 Hartfield Road ire 119 Royal Parade 5 Cook Avenue Victoria Drive 2 Warburton Close Blackwater Road 3 Grange Gardens 4 Walnut Tree Walk Lindfield Road h Park 9 Wilton Avenue 10 Linkway h 21 Greys Road
ire 119 Royal Parade 5 Cook Avenue Victoria Drive 2 Warburton Close Blackwater Road 3 Grange Gardens 4 Walnut Tree Walk Lindfield Road 10 Linkway 10 Linkway 11 Greys Road 10 Upperton Road
h 5 Cook Avenue Victoria Drive 2 Warburton Close Blackwater Road 3 Grange Gardens 4 Walnut Tree Walk Lindfield Road 9 Wilton Avenue 10 Linkway 13 Greys Road 26 Upperton Road
Victoria Drive 2 Warburton Close Blackwater Road 3 Grange Gardens 4 Walnut Tree Walk Lindfield Road 9 Wilton Avenue 10 Linkway 11 Greys Road
2 Warburton Close Blackwater Road 3 Grange Gardens 4 Walnut Tree Walk Lindfield Road 9 Wilton Avenue 10 Linkway 11 Greys Road 26 Upperton Road
Blackwater Road 3 Grange Gardens 4 Walnut Tree Walk Lindfield Road 9 Wilton Avenue 10 Linkway 11 Greys Road 12 Oupperton Road
3 Grange Gardens 4 Walnut Tree Walk Lindfield Road 9 Wilton Avenue 10 Linkway 11 Greys Road 26 Upperton Road
4 Walnut Tree Walk Lindfield Road 9 Wilton Avenue 10 Linkway 11 Greys Road 26 Upperton Road
Lindfield Road 9 Wilton Avenue 10 Linkway 11 Greys Road 26 Upperton Road
n Park 9 Wilton Avenue 10 Linkway n 71 Greys Road 26 Upperton Road
10 Linkway71 Greys Road26 Upperton Road
10 Linkway71 Greys Road26 Upperton Road
26 Upperton Road
26 Upperton Road
nys 29 Filder Close
Compton Place Road
74 Meads Road
6 Carlisle Road
8 Lodge Avenue
3 Eridge Road
9 Upperton Gardens
ire 118-120 Seaside
13 College Road
ire 87 Longstone Road
230 Willingdon Road
10 Blackwater Road
91 Parkway
ire 18 Cavendish Avenue
15 Darley Road
n Park 7 Wilton Avenue
16a Grassington Road
89 Meads Street
51 Meads Road
h 4 Enys Road
10A Hyde Gardens
n 73 Princes Road
64 Selmeston Road
6 Cornfield Terrace
nys 20 Boswell Walk
nys 68 St Philips Avenue
n 12 Carew Road
4 Saffrons Park
15 Gorse Close

5 Appeals

As commented above all applications that are refused have to the potential to be appealed by the applicant. The Council for the year 2014/15 have received 14 appeals; some of these appeals have not yet been decided but all appeals received are reported below.

Appeals received by ward/count & Appeal by development type/application

Row Labels	Count of ward
DV	
Devonshire	4
HP Hampden	
Park	1
MD Meads	4
RN Ratton	1
SA St	
Anthonys	1
UP Upperton	3
Grand Total	14

TABLE 7

	Count of pacode
ADV Advertisement	1
HHH Householder	4
OSR Outline (some	
reserved)	1
PAP Prior Approval	1
PCJ Prior Notification	
Class J	1
PPP Planning	
Permission	6
Grand Total	14

RECENT APPEAL SUMMARIES

ADV Advertisement
140867
The Pilot, 89 Meads Street, Eastbourne
Display of one painted wooden sign illuminated by Existing LED
Dec Level Delegated
Officer Recommendation Refuse
Appeal decision Appeal decision expected

HHH Householder
131058
19 Sydney Road, Eastbourne
Detre en estive en elization for the installation of decline and evendualle to flat worf at

Retrospective application for the installation of decking and guardrails to flat roof at rear, with removal of bedroom window, to be replaced with access door to decking.

Dec Level Committee

Officer Recommendation Refuse

Appeal decision Appeal decision dismissed Significant detrimental impact upon

residential amenity

140108

60 Watts Lane, Eastbourne

Two storey extension to form self-contained unit adjoining the existing detached private house, with internal linking access doors.

Officer Recommendation Approve

Dec level Committee

Appeal decision Appeal decision Dismissed – Effect of the proposal on the character and appearance if the host dwelling and the area

140748

87 Longstone Road

Retrospective application for permission to erect decking at rear on existing flat roof.

Officer Recommendation Refuse

Dec Level Delegated

Appeal decision Appeal decision Dismissed – Adverse impacts upon the residential amenity (privacy) of the occupiers of the surrounding/adjacent properties

140167

Flat 4, 3 Grange Gardens, Eastbourne

Replacement windows, to replace the existing timber windows with matching upvc windows.

Dec Level Delegated

Officer Recommendation Refuse

Appeal decision Dismissed replacement windows in this instance would be harmful to the character and appearance of this building within the conservation area.

140451

Inglewood Nursing Home, 9-9 Neville Avenue, Eastbourne Proposed 2-storey extension to provide 10 additional residents

Dec Level Committee

Officer Recommendation Approve

Appeal decision Appeal Dismissed ` Development failed to show that extension was subservient and was intrusive into the street scene'

140628

2 Silverdale Road (Southdown House)

Alterations to existing garage block to form new pitched roof to replace flat roof and

formation of two 2 bedroom flats within roof space - resubmission of application 130676.

Dec Level Delegated

Officer Recommendation Refused

Appeal Decision – Awaiting.

140711

Courtlands Hotel 3 – 5 Wilmington Gardens

Change of use to convert a 45 bedroom hotel to a 14 bedroom guest house hotel and 15 residential apartments.

Dec Level Committee

Officer Recommendation Granted

Appeal Decision - Awaiting

140781

R/O 11 – 23 Eshton Road

Erection of two detached single storey dwellings.

Decision Level Committee

Officer Recommendation Refused

Appeal Decision Awaiting

141201

20 Boswell walk

Proposed single storey rear ground floors extension and conversation of loft space, including the formation of front and rear dormers, to form habitable rooms.

Decision Level Delegated

Officer Recommendation Refused

Appeal Decision Awaiting

1-6 The Courtyard Wharf Road

Variation of condition 4 of permission EB/1999/0124 to permit the installation of gates across the entrance to the courtyard.

Officer recommendation: Approve

Dec Level: Planning Committee

Appeal decision: Appeal Dismissed ' scheme would not harmonize with the local environment and would not respect its distinctiveness'

PAP Prior Approval

141091

64 Selmeston Road

Proposed single storey extension which would extend beyond the rear wall of the existing house by 8 metres for which the maximum height for the overall extension would be 3 metres.

Officer Recommendation: Refuse

Dec Level: Delegated

Appeal decision Appeal Allowed 'Would not have an overbearing impact upon the occupiers of the adjoining properties'.

130329

25 Gordon Lodge Twinn Language School

Construction of a three storey extension at rear and conversion of the existing building to provide 11 no. residential flats together with landscaping, waste and cycle storage, including the removal of the existing rear external escape gantry and single storey lower ground side addition.

Officer recommendation: Approve

Decision Level Committee

Appeal Decision: Dismissed ' No S106 to cover the deliver of affordable housing'

130424

2-3 Beverley Court

Replacement of Single-Glazed Wooden Framed Windows and Exterior Doors with New Double-Glazed PVCu Framed Windows and Doors.

Officer Recommendation: Refused

Decision Level: Delegated

Appeal Decision: - Allowed 'good design and the energy efficiency of the windows would outweigh the modest harm to the character of the Conservation Area.

130786

15 Hartfield Road

Erection of 1 No. 3 bed detached chalet bungalow.

Officer Recommendation: - Refused

Decision Level: Delegated

Appeal Decision: - Dismissed 'Overlooking would impact upon the living conditions of the future occupiers of the unit; development would neither preserve nor enhance the character of the Conservation Area.

RMT Reserved Matters 130468

Land East Of Kings Drive, Kings Drive, Eastbourne Application for approval of reserved matters (Details of the Dec Level Committee

Officer Recommendation Approve

Appeal Decision Withdrawn

Appeal Analysis TABLE 9

	Approve – Member Overturn Allowed	Approve – Member Overturn Dismissed	Refuse – Member Support Refusal Allowed	Refuse – Member Support Refusal Dismissed
2013	7 (28%)	4 (16%)	2 (8%)	12 (48%)
2014	0	4 (40%)	2 (20%)	4 (40%)
2015				
2016				
2017				

Appeal Analysis Table 10

Year	Special Measures PI (%)	No of Majors Overturned at Appeal (number and %)
2014	20	1 (100%)
2015	N/A	N/A
2016	N/A	N/A
2017	N/A	N/A
2018	N/A	N/A

The above table 9 identifies the relevant decision permutations and it is acknowledged that the appeal volume is reducing when compared to the previous year. There may be a number of reasons for this; it could be applicants benefiting from the free pre-application advice and thereby improving the quality of the schemes that are being submitted; it could also be the Governments introduction of the 'larger residential extension' scheme that allows for homeowners to extended greater depth than would formerly be allowed without the need for a planning application. The appeal rate/volume will continue to be monitored going forward.

It is accepted that Eastbourne due to the nature and type of the borough statistically receive few major applications and as such we may not get above the commencement survey threshold of more than 10 applications. Notwithstanding this it is considered important to review and analyse all appeal decisions across all application types as an indicator that we have applied a sound planning judgement at both delegated and planning committee level.

Appeal Analysis Table 9 Column 1

Officer recommendation for approval – Member overturned – Appeal

Allowed (Officers right Members were wrong) It is important to keep a watching brief on this column as this is often the scenario where costs are awarded against the Council. Notwithstanding this at the time of reporting it is acknowledged that the % of cases in this column has fallen significantly compared to the previous year.

It is accepted that at times there are differences of opinion between officers and Members however for the appeal decision received to date there have been no instances this year where this scenario has occurred.

In some way this could be an indication that all parties are aligned in their thinking and are consistent with established policy and National Advice.

Appeal Analysis Table 9 Column 2

Officer recommendation for approval – member overturned – appeal dismissed (Officers were wrong and Members were right) This is also a category where appeal costs can be awarded. This shows that officers are not always right, but the volume of cases in the category is modest.

Appeal Analysis Table 9 Column 3

Officer recommendation for refusal – Member support for refusal (committee or delegated) – Appeal allowed – Officers and Member were wrong. This shows that officer and Members are in tune but the officers have been over zealous with their recommendation and it has not been supported by the Planning Inspectorate. The volume in this category remains low but again this needs to be monitored as it is an indication that Officers may not follow planning policy/advice and skewing recommendations following neighbour concerns or trying to second guess the outcome of planning committee.

In essence it is important that officers do not shy away from making difficult recommendations if the recommendation is in accordance with national and local advice/policies.

Appeal Analysis Table 9 Column 4

Officer recommendation for refusal – Member support for recommendation (committee or delegated decisions) – appeal dismissed (officers and Members were right). This column shows when Officers and Members are in tune and supported by the Planning Inspectorate. The Higher the % the better, Members will note that this category is usually by far the largest.

Appeal Costs

As members will be aware the appeal process can award costs of the appeal to any party where the counter party has acted in an unreasonable manner. During the survey period the Council received two awards of costs:-

No issues to report.

Appeal Analysis Table 10

As commented earlier Eastbourne may not trigger the special measures threshold for Government intervention due to the number of major applications received. Notwithstanding this for the first nine months of 2014 Eastbourne has had 1 major application going through to an appeal decision and this was overturned resulting in 100% of cases being overturned.

Planning Enforcement

As outlined in the Planning Enforcement Policy Statement previously reported to committee regular reporting of the enforcement function to Planning Committee is considered important as keeps members aware of the cases and issues that are live in their area and would it assists in:-

- Tackling breaches in planning control which would otherwise have an unacceptable impact on the amenity of the area;
- Maintaining the integrity of the decision-making process;
- Helping to ensure that the public acceptance of the decision making process is maintained.

Going forward it is the intention to provide the statistics on a quarterly basis with an annual review.

Members will note some of the data places high volumes in the Devonshire ward, this reflects the focus given with/by the Difficult Property Group through S215 (Untidy Sites) legislation and also emphasises the support for the 'Driving Devonshire Forward' policy document.

Table 11

Enforcement Live Case o	n Hand
Row Labels 🗾 Count of	pward
DV Devonshire	35
HP Hampden Park	7
LG Langney	11
MD Meads	14
OT Old Town	4
RN Ratton	14
SA St Anthonys	14
SV Sovereign	12
UP Upperton	14
(blank)	
Grand Total	125

YEAR	END OF Q1	END OF Q2	END OF Q3	END OF Q4
2014	28	46	61	95
2015	144			
2105				

Table 12

YEAR	CLOSED	RECEIVED
2014	246	346
2015	74	73

YEAR/Q	CQ1	RQ1	CQ2	RQ2	CQ3	RQ3	CQ4	RQ4
2014	33	107	38	72	95	92	87	92
2015	74	73						

It is clear from the above tables/information that the volume of cases **closed** has significantly increased in the second and third quarters of the calendar year 2014. This rate of closure reflects an upturn from approximately 10-15 cases per month (Q1&2) to approximately 25-30 cases per month (Q3&4), this has continued within the first quarter of 2015.

It is important to note that the closure rate is now matching the volume of received cases and as such there should not be an expanding backlog of live cases. On this issue Members should note that the volume of cases on the over 6months old list has remained fairly static at 30 cases.

Complaint	2014	2015	2016	2017
Туре	(246)	(74)		
Breach of	34	18		
Planning				
Condition				
Empty	1	0		
Property				
General	2	0		
enquiry				
Land	41	14		
detrimental to				
the area				
Minor Disrepair	23	0		
Adverts	8	1		
Change of use	28	9		
Building works	82	28		
Works in	11	2		
Conservation				
Area				
Works to	9	1		
Listed Building				
Works to	10	1		
Protected				
Trees				

Table 13 Enforcement Closed Cases by Complaint Type for 2014

4 Human Resources

As members are aware the Customer First team within which the planning function rests, has undergone significant change during the last 2 years. However there has been a period of stability over this survey period with the roles/responsibilities of the Case Workers and Specialist Advisors becoming embedded.

The planning function now has a full compliment of staff with their knowledge base being supported by a comprehensive training/mentoring programme facilitated by internal and external trainers.

5 Legal

Save for the potential costs claim that could follow an appeal there are no other legal issues arising from this report.

APPENDIX No 1

Planning Application Type/Ward for calendar year 2014 to date:- Appendix 1 Table 11

Table 14

Calendar Year 2014 Applications Received (Including All Planning Applications - Pre application Schemes - Tree application & Invalid submissions)

Row Labels	Count of ward
000 Invalid code	1
DV Devonshire	171
HP Hampden Park	78
LG Langney	46
MD Meads	337
OT Old Town	115
RN Ratton	139
SA St Anthonys	131
SV Sovereign	90
UP Upperton	217
(blank)	
Grand Total	1325